

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION-NO.
10/502,319	04/11/2005	Kenji Yoshida	042251	3363
38834 7590 05/01/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2876	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•		3/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/502,319	YOSHIDA, KENJI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	•			
	Γhis action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, ,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	t			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Sta	nge		
application from the International Bur					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview S Paper Note	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application is a national stage entry of PCT/JP03/03162 filed on March 17, 2003.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within **the range of 50 to 150 words**. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. **The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided**. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,319

Art Unit: 2876

5. Regarding claim 1, the term "etc." renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s)

include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "etc."), thereby rendering the

Page 3

scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

6. Claims 1-15 are deemed indefinite since claim 1 is written in a manner that does not

allow for full and definite disclosure of the claimed invention. The claim, particularly the

limitation starting with "by setting said key dot", is unclear.

Furthermore, the punctuation (e.g. comma placement) and usage of pronouns including

'they', 'it', and 'this' within the claims do not lend to a proper and definite interpretation of the

claims.

However, for examination purposes, a prior art rejection will be set forth in view of the

examiner's interpretation of claim 1 to be drawn toward an information input and output method

by use of a dot pattern characterized in that, on a medium surface of a printed material a plurality

of lattice dots are disposed in a rectangular shape and set as a block, and a dot within the pattern

is shifted in a manner so as to make the shifted dot a key dot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2876

8. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olsson et al. (US 6,732,927) (hereinafter referred to as 'Olsson').

Olsson teaches

an information input and output method by use of a dot pattern characterized in that,
on a medium surface of a printed material, a plurality of lattice dots are disposed
in a rectangular shape and set as a block, and

the blocks are regularly and continuously disposed, and such a dot that 1 piece of the lattice dots which exists in the block was disposed by being shifted unidirectionally is set as a key dot, and

by setting said key dot as a representative point, they are disposed at a circumference of the key dot, and by setting a center which was surrounded by the lattice dots of 4 points as a hypothetical point, and by setting this as a start point, at an end point which was represented by a vector, a plurality of information dots which have various information recognized are arranged in accordance with a predetermined rule by a dot code generation algorithm to thereby generate a dot pattern, and

a block which configures said dot pattern is imported as image data by a camera, and, from a numerical value which was calculated by digitizing this, information, a program are outputted (col. 5, line 51-col. 6, line 34).

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

amara A. Franklin

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

JAF April 26, 2007